

No. ID/FD/35-83/48950.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Brij Mohan Sharma and the management of M/s Epsa Electrical Motors 3B Kothi No. 163, Near Geeta Bal Niketan NIT Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Brij Mohan Sharma was justified and in order ?  
If not, to what relief is she entitled ?

The 20th September, 1983

No. ID/SPT/77-83/49531.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Asray Hussain and the management of M/s Jai Hanuman Industries E. C. E. Nagar, Delhi Road, Sonapat regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Asray Hussain was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/SPT/77-83/49538.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman, Shri Mohan Gupta and the management of M/s Jai Hanuman Industries E. C. E. Nagar, Delhi Road, Sonapat regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Mohan Gupta, was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

The 26th September, 1983

No. ID/XMN/209-83/51672.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Dass and the management of M/s. (i) Shri P. K. Sagar Contractor Bailing Board Saw Mills, Unit Shree Gopal, Yamuna Nagar (ii) Vidyadhar Mishar & Shankar Tiwari, Contractor, Bailing Board Saw Mills Ballarpur Industries Ltd., Unit Shree Gopal Yamuna Nagar, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Dass was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?